



#7  
Y.H  
03-28-03

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**Attorney Docket No. 043034/0165**

Applicant: Kazuo OGORO  
Title: ELECTRONIC APPARATUS WITH BACKLIGHTING DEVICE  
Serial No.: 09/773,596  
Filed: February 2, 2001  
Examiner: Unassigned  
Art Unit: 2673

**RECEIVED**

MAR 26 2003

Technology Center 2600

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT  
UNDER 37 CFR §1.56 and 37 CFR §1.97**

Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Submitted herewith on Form PTO SB/08 is a listing of documents known to Applicant in order to comply with Applicant's duty of disclosure pursuant to 37 CFR 1.56. A copy of each listed document is being submitted to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

The submission of any documents herewith, which is not a statutory bar, is not intended as an admission that such document constitutes prior art against the claims of the present application or that such document is considered material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR §1.56(b). Applicant does not waive any rights to take any action which would be appropriate to antedate or otherwise remove as a competent reference any document which is determined to be a prima facie prior art reference against the claims of the present application.

### **TIMING OF THE DISCLOSURE**

The instant Information Disclosure Statement is believed to be filed in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97(b), prior to the mailing date of a first Office Action on the merits (first scenario). If that is not the case, such as in a second scenario in which a first Office Action on the merits has been mailed before the filing of the instant Information Disclosure Statement, then either a certification or fee is required, and a certification is provided below. If neither of the first or second scenarios is the case, such as if a final Office Action or a notice of allowance has been mailed by the PTO (third scenario), then both a certification and fee are required, and in that case a certification is provided below and also the PTO is authorized to obtain the necessary fee to have the instant IDS considered, from Foley & Lardner Deposit Account #19-0741.

### **CERTIFICATION**

The undersigned hereby certifies in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.97(e)(1) that each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was first cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three (3) months prior to the filing of this Statement.

### **RELEVANCE OF EACH DOCUMENT**

A translation of a portion of a Japanese Office Action that issued February 12, 2003 with respect to a counterpart Japanese patent application is provided below.

"Claims: 1, 4  
Cited Literature: 1  
Remarks

Illumination of an input part is a well-known technical matter to a person skilled in the art.

Claim: 2  
Cited Literature: 1, 2  
Remarks

Application of the invention in the Cited Literature 1 in a mobile telephone is readily accomplished by a person skilled in the art. Establishing a mode as a data input mode or the like is recognized as a design matter for a person skilled in the art.

Claims: 3, 5  
Cited Literature: 1-3  
Remarks

The Cited Literature 3 describes the reducing of luminance during an input operation.

Claim: 6  
Cited Literature: 1-4  
Remarks

Exercise of backlight control by means of a program is no more than a design matter for a person skilled in the art (see the Cited Literature 4 if necessary).

Claim: 7  
Cited Literature: 1-5  
Remarks

Display of selection instructions for input of settings is a well-known technical matter to a person skilled in the art (see the Cited Literature 5 if necessary).

Claim: 8  
Cited Literature: 1-6  
Remarks

Adjustment of luminance by means of resistance is a well-known technical matter to a person skilled in the art (see the Cited Literature 6 if necessary).

Claim: 9  
Cited Literature: 1-7  
Remarks

Intermittent control to adjust the brightness of illumination is a well-known technical matter to a person skilled in the art (see the Cited Literature 7 if necessary).

#### List of Cited Literature

1. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication H7-168541
2. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication H11-154900
3. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication H9-26837
4. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication H6-160805
5. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication H11-126118
6. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication S64-61791
7. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication H5-127626

#### Record of Prior Art Literature Search Results

Fields searched  
IPC 7<sup>th</sup> Ed. G09G 3/18, 36  
Prior art literature

Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication H10-197939

This Record of Prior Art Literature Search Results does not constitute a reason for rejection."

Applicant's statements regarding the Japanese Office Action are based on a partial translation that Applicant's representative obtained. These statements should in no way be considered as an agreement by Applicant with, or an admission of, what is asserted in the Japanese Office Action.

Applicant respectfully requests that the listed documents be considered by the Examiner and formally be made of record in the present application and that an initialed copy of Form PTO SB/08 be returned in accordance with MPEP §609.

Respectfully submitted,

25 March, 2003  
Date

Phillip J. Articola  
Phillip J. Articola  
Registration No. 38,819

FOLEY & LARDNER  
Washington Harbour  
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 500  
Washington, D.C. 20007-5109  
Telephone: (202) 672-5300  
Facsimile: (202) 672-5399